Introduction

This paper addresses firstly, the nature of behaviour difficulties in young children and secondly, the relationship to reading difficulties in school. The first part of the paper describes and discusses the terminology variously used when referring to children who are observed to have behaviour problems. The discussion then turns to the associated complexities involved in the children's learning in general, and to reading in particular.

Behaviour Problems in Young Children

A glance at the literature on this topic reveals numerous descriptions of children in terms of their activity levels, general behaviour, and problems related to school learning. The prevalence of behaviour problems in young children has been found to be associated largely with family issues. (Coleman, Wolkind & Ashley, 1977, Richman, Stevenson & Graham, 1975, McGuire and Richman, 1986, 1987).

Significant, is the research which points to instances of discipline preferences based on parents' perceptions of their children's motives for misbehavior. The research of Dix Ruble & Zambarano, (1989), indicates a strong relationship between parents' discipline techniques, and knowledge of their children's competence, age, and level of responsibility. Furthermore, research which focusses partially on teachers' perceptions of children's temperament, indicates the significance for children's adjustment


Problems have arisen however with attempts to classify behaviour difficulties in young children. (Prendergast, et al 1988). A summary of the most common characteristics have been identified in terms of:

a) high levels of motor activity
b) uneasiness
c) fiddling
d) short attention span
e) distractibility
f) excitement
g) low tolerance
h) impulsiveness

It appears though that most concern about "impulsiveness" is related to problems with academic tasks. It has been found that difficulties are compounded by frequencies of errors, which in turn lead to frustration, poor motivation, and failure to thrive in attainment. In general terms, whilst such children identified as "impulsive" would normally be found in the regular school system, their progress, being somewhat delayed, renders them as having learning difficulties.
Some Causes of Behaviour Problems

The effects of diet, medication, and/or environmental pollution have been found to be causal factors of certain types of typical behaviour patterns. In particular, the effects of diet have aroused much attention (Feingold 1975). However, Silver's review (1987), indicates that the elimination of certain additives such as synthetic food colouring, does not significantly alter certain behaviour patterns. Furthermore, Adler (1990), distinguishing between food allergy, intolerance, and aversion, supports this finding.

Some support for the view that the effects of medication, in particular methylphenidate, may be related to certain changes in impulsive behaviour, stem from a study by Taylor et al (1987). This study claims positive short term benefits for some children considered to be hyperactive. Relatedly, a longitudinal study into the effects of lead on children's behaviour indicated a small relationship to hyperactivity, (Sylva et al 1988).

More recent research has centred on physiological explanations for the effects of certain types of food on certain types of individuals. Wright, (1992), reviews the evidence for the effects of certain foods for those with deficiency diseases; the implications for educational achievements of malnourished children, and the variety of variables influencing the potential effects of food on cognitive performance. For example, the timing, and/or omission of meals, appears to be significant for some but not others. One explanation quoted concerns the nature of the food eaten at certain times which may lead to mood swings. It is suggested that for some, foods which activate serotonergic functions (eg high carbohydrate and low protein snacks), may cause an increase in activity. Clearly, if food is found to be effective in causing mood swings it could conceivably be used to control mood swings in certain individuals, especially those with behaviour problems, for example.

Behaviour Problems and School Performance

Given that there appears to be some difficulty in arriving at an overall classification of the factors contributing to children's
behaviour problems, researchers concerned to maximise the potential of children deemed to be hyperactive, have focused on measures of attention, in order to plan appropriate intervention.

In one study, (Boudreault et al. 1988), an analysis of the results of individual tests, showed that reading difficulties featured significantly, indicating a relationship between attention problems and cognition. In relation to strategies for intervention, Van der Meere and Sergeant (1988), investigating the relationship between attention and/or hyperactivity and poor school performance, suggested that teachers should give consideration to adequate instruction and appropriate feedback. Before developing this issue further, the topic of reading difficulties and the relationship with children with behaviour problems is considered.

Reading Difficulties and School Performance

The debate about poor reading standards amongst children in Britain, continues to cause serious concern. A report by HMI in January 1991, revealed that in 20 per cent of British Primary Schools, one in four seven year-olds could not read with accuracy and understanding. The resulting furor in educational circles centred on questionable teaching methods and teacher competencies. Should "phonics" or "look and say" methods prevail? Turner, (1992), the author of the original crisis criticises teachers' reliance on methods which, he claims, fool them into believing that children are reading. The combined remedies proposed by other "experts" call for age-related standards of achievement, learner motivation, and literacy activities beyond school, and, a general combination of methods and materials. It is little surprise then that an examination of teaching methods is underway.

With timely advice from the author of a successful reading programme overseas, (Clay, 1982), it is envisaged that further crises will be averted. Nevertheless the concern with reading standards has been expressed in terms of children, one assumes, who do not have learning difficulties per se. What then of children who do?
Sargeant's comments about task management (op cit.) appear appropriate, and the attention to be given to the Reading Recovery Approach pioneered by Marie Clay (1982). Such intervention might clearly be of assistance to some children and their teachers involved in this controversy.

Interesting though this debate is, the needs of children with behaviour difficulties, and those with attention problems might best be served by some of the "Reading Recovery" prescriptions, namely: individualised instruction; reading for meaning; attention to basic skills; provision for highly motivating materials; a stress on the sight vocabulary of key "survival words"; finally, the continual monitoring of progress.

Conclusion

This paper has attempted to show that some behaviour problems in young children are often complex in origin and description, and attitudes to their control and management especially when children are labelled as "hyperactive" or "difficult to manage" are variable. The relationship of any misperceptions about cause and management of such characteristic behaviours, to school progress, appear to be negative, even though such labels are used to describe a number of learning-related problems in children. However, links with attention levels and school performance in general, and reading in particular, have been highlighted, and it appears possible that appropriate intervention may reap benefits. This would involve the selection of appropriate teaching methods and resource materials, geared towards the individual needs of children: appropriate diet and/or medication; realistic expectations; but above all, an understanding of the nature of the behaviour problems in each individual child. Given that many children are wrongly labelled, it may be desirable that the individual behaviour problems of each child are scrutinised and assessed, before any useful general prescriptions for intervention are proposed.
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