Title	Topologies generated by families of sets and strong poset models
Author(s)	Zhao Dongsheng, Xi Xiaoyong and Chen Yixiang
Source	Topology Proceedings, 56, 249-261
Published by	Auburn University

Copyright © 2020 Auburn University

This is the author's submitted manuscript (pre-print) of a work that was accepted for publication in the Topology Proceedings.

Notice: Changes introduced as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing and formatting may not be reflected in this document. For a definitive version of this work, please refer to the published source.

The final publication is also available at: http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/reprints/v56/tp56015p1.pdf

Topologies generated by families of sets and strong poset models

Zhao Dongsheng, Xi Xiaoyong, and Chen Yixiang

ABSTRACT. A poset model of a topological space X is a poset P such that X is homeomorphic to the maximal point space of P (the set Max(P) of all maximal points of P equipped with the relative Scott topology of P). The poset models of topological spaces based on other topologies, such as Lawson topology and lower topology, have also been investigated by other people. These models establish various types of new links between posets and topological spaces. In this paper we introduce the strong Scott topology on a poset and use it to define the strong poset model: a strong poset model of a space X is a poset P such that Max(P) (equipped with the relative strong Scott topology) is homeomorphic to X. The main aim is to establish a characterization of T_1 spaces with T-generated topologies (such as the Hausdorff k-spaces) in terms of maximal point spaces of posets. A poset P is called ME-separated if for any elements x, y of P, x < y iff $\uparrow y \cap \operatorname{Max}(P) \subset \uparrow x \cap \operatorname{Max}(P)$. We consider the topological spaces that have an ME-separated strong poset model. The main result is that a T_1 space has an ME-separated strong poset model iff its topology is T-generated. The class of spaces whose topologies are T-generated include all Scott spaces and all Hausdorff k-spaces.

A poset model of a topological space X is a poset P such that the subspace Max(P) of all maximal points of P of the Scott space ΣP is homeomorphic to X. It has been proved by several authors that a topological space has a poset model if and only if it is a T_1 space (see [1][2][13]). In [14], it was further proved that every T_1 space has a directed complete poset (dcpo, for short) model. Finding poset models with extra properties can help us better understand the topologies of spaces modeled by these posets.

In [15], the topological spaces that have a bounded complete dcpo model are investigated. In particular, they studied the spaces in which all nonempty closed compact subsets form a dcpo model. One of the special features of the dcpo CK(X) of all nonempty closed compact subsets of a

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 06B35, 06B30, 54A05.

Key words and phrases. strong Scott topology; maximal point space; T-generated space; ME-separated poset; strong poset model.

 T_1 space X is that elements A in CK(X) are determined by the maximal elements above it: $A \subseteq B$ iff $(\uparrow B) \cap Max(CK(X)) \subseteq (\uparrow A) \cap Max(CK(X))$. A poset P satisfying this condition will be called "ME-separated". In this paper we investigate such posets and their maximal point spaces. We introduce the notion of strong Scott topology on a poset and use it to define the strong poset model of a topological space. The main result is that a T_1 space has an ME-separated strong poset model iff its topology is T-generated (to be defined). The class of spaces whose topologies are T-generated include all Scott spaces, metric spaces and even all the Hausdorff k-spaces. The definition of T-generated topologies may suggest a new convenient method of constructing topologies on a set.

1. The strong Scott topology on dcpos

Recall that a subset U of a poset P is Scott open if i) $U = \uparrow U = \{x \in P : y \leq x \text{ for some } y \in U\}$ and ii) for any directed subset $D \subseteq P, \forall D \in U$ implies $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$ whenever $\forall D$ exists. The Scott open sets of a poset P form a topology on P, denoted by $\sigma(P)$ and called the Scott topology on P. The space $(P, \sigma(P))$ is denoted by ΣP , called the Scott space of P. A subset F of P is closed with respect to the Scott topology if it is a lower set and closed under suprema of directed subsets (i.e. for any directed set $D \subseteq F$, $\forall D \in F$ whenever $\forall D$ exists).

A poset is called a directed complete poset (dcpo, for short) if every directed subset of the poset has a supremum. For more about the Scott topology and dcpos, see [5][6].

For any poset P, let Max(P) denote the set of all maximal points of P. A poset model of a topological space X is a poset such that the subset Max(P) with the relative Scott topology is homeomorphic to X.

As our main focus will be on the subspace Max(P), we shall assume that in all the posets P considered below, every element $x \in P$ is below some maximal element. This is equivalent to $\downarrow Max(P) = P$. Note that every dcpo satisfies this condition.

In order to extend the ways of constructing topologies from order structures, we introduce a new topology on posets, which is finer than the Scott topology in general. The corresponding poset models of topological spaces will be investigated.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let P be a poset. The strong Scott topology $\sigma_s(P)$ on P consists of $U \subseteq P$ such that (i) $U = \uparrow U$ and (ii) for any directed set $D \subseteq P, \bigvee D \in \operatorname{Max}(P) \cap U$ implies $D \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

It's easy to verify that $\sigma_s(P)$ is indeed a topology on P and is finer than the Scott topology in general.

REMARK 1.2. In [8][4], the authors first defined the strong Scott topology τ_{sSc} on the open set lattice $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ of a topological space Y as follows: $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{O}(Y)$ is in τ_{sSc} iff (i) it is an upper set of the complete lattice $(\mathcal{O}(Y), \subseteq)$ and (ii) for any directed subfamily \mathcal{D} of $\mathcal{O}(Y)$ satisfying $\bigcup \mathcal{D} = Y, \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{D} \neq \emptyset$. EXAMPLE 1.3. (1) Let P = [0, 1] be the unit interval of real numbers with the ordinary order of numbers. Then a subset U of P is in $\sigma_s(P)$ iff it has the form [a, 1] or (a, 1] (a < 1). Thus for this P, $\sigma(P) \neq \sigma_s(P)$.

(2) Let $\mathbb{I} = \{[a,b] : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\}$ be the set of all nonempty closed intervals of real numbers. Then (\mathbb{I}, \supseteq) is a dcpo.

The set $\{I \in \mathbb{I} : I \subseteq [0,1]\} \cup \{I \in \mathbb{I} : I \subseteq (-1,1/2) \cup (1/2,3/2)\}$ is in $\sigma_s(\mathbb{I})$. But it is not Scott open.

In general, an upper set $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathbb{I}$ is in $\sigma_s(\mathbb{I})$ iff for any $[x, x] = \{x\} \in \mathcal{U}$, there is $I \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in int(I)$.

Given two elements a, b in a poset P, a is way-below b, denoted by $a \ll b$, if for any directed subset D of P, if $\bigvee D$ exists and $b \leq \bigvee D$ then there exists $d \in D$ such that $a \leq d$. An element x is called compact, if $x \ll x$. The set of all compact elements of P is denoted by K(P).

A poset P is called a continuous poset if for any element $a \in P$, the set $\downarrow a = \{x \in P : x \ll a\}$ is a directed set and

$$a = \bigvee \downarrow a.$$

A poset P is called an algebraic poset if for any element $a \in P$, the set $\{x \in K(P) : x \leq a\}$ is a directed set and

$$a = \bigvee \{ x \in \mathcal{K}(P) : x \le a \}.$$

Every algebraic poset is continuous.

Given a poset P, the subspace of $(P, \sigma_s(P))$ of all maximal points will be denoted by $\operatorname{Max}_s(P)$. Since the strong Scott topology is finer than the Scott topology, the space $\operatorname{Max}_s(P)$ is always T_1 .

DEFINITION 1.4. A strong poset model of a T_1 space X is a poset P such that $Max_s(P)$ is homeomorphic to X.

THEOREM 1.5. For any continuous poset P, the restrictions of $\sigma(P)$ and $\sigma_s(P)$ on Max(P) are the same.

PROOF. Let $W \in \sigma_s(P)$ and $B = W \cap \operatorname{Max}(P)$. For any $b \in B$, there is a $b^* \in W$ such that $b^* \ll b$. Since P is continuous, each $\{y \in P : b^* \ll y\}$ is Scott open. Let $W^* = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{y \in P : b^* \ll y\}$. Then $B = W^* \cap \operatorname{Max}(P)$. This shows that $\sigma_s(P)|_{\operatorname{Max}(P)}$ is contained in $\sigma(P)|_{\operatorname{Max}(P)}$. As the inverse inclusion always hold, we have $\sigma_s(P)|_{\operatorname{Max}(P)} = \sigma(P)|_{\operatorname{Max}(P)}$, thus the result is proved. \Box

Therefore when considering the maximal point spaces of continuous posets, it does not matter whether one uses the Scott topology or the strong Scott topology.

The following is an immediate problem concerning the strong dcpo models: Which T_1 spaces have a strong poset model?

By Theorem 1 of [13], every T_1 space has a bounded complete algebraic poset model. Since every algebraic poset is continuous, we obtain the following result by Theorem 1.5.

PROPOSITION 1.6. Every T_1 space has a strong continuous poset model.

REMARK 1.7. The models of topological spaces with respect to other topologies different from the Scott topology have already been considered by other authors. For instance,

- (i) Lawson proved that for every Polish space X there is an ω -domain whose maximal point space with the relative Lawson topology is homeomorphic to X([9]);
- (ii) Liang and Keimel proved that a T_1 space has a continuous poset model with respect to the Lawson topology if and only if it is Tychonoff([10]);
- (iii) Kamimura and Tang proved that a T_1 space is compact and second countable iff it is homeomorphic to the maximal point space of a bounded complete ω -algebraic dcpo with the relative lower topology ([7]);
- (iv) Mashburn studied the models with respect to the wwb-topology([11][12]).

Here we consider the models with respect to the strong Scott topology and use it to establish new links between domain theory and T_1 spaces.

2. T-generated topologies

In [15], the authors defined the CK-generated topology and proved that for a Hausdorff space (X, τ) , the set of all nonempty compact subsets form a dcpo model (in a specific sense) iff τ is CK-generated. In this paper we shall study the general way of using families of sets to generate a topology in a similar manner. We also study their links to the strong poset models of topological spaces.

DEFINITION 2.1. A T-family Ψ on a set X is a collection of nonempty subsets of X such that for any $x \in X$, the family

$$\{A \in \Psi : x \in A\}$$

is a nonempty filter base.

4

Let $c_{\Psi}(x) = \bigcap \{A \in \Psi : x \in A\}$ for each $x \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Given a T-family Ψ on a set X, a subset $U \subseteq X$ is called Ψ -open if for any filter base $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Psi$, $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = c_{\Psi}(a)$ and $a \in U$ implies $F \subseteq U$ holds for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$.

Let τ_{Ψ} be the collection of all Ψ -open sets of X.

A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is called saturated if it is an intersection of open sets [5]. The saturation sat(A) of a set A is the intersection of all open sets containing A (it is the smallest saturated set containing A).

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let Ψ be a T-family of subsets of a set X. Then we have the following.

(1) τ_{Ψ} is a topology on X.

(2) $sat({x}) = c_{\Psi}(x)$ holds for any $x \in X$, where the saturation is the one with respect to τ_{Ψ} .

(3) For any $U \in \tau_{\Psi}$, $U = \bigcup \{ c_{\Psi}(x) : x \in U \}$.

(4) The space (X, τ_{Ψ}) is T_0 iff $x \neq y$ implies $c_{\Psi}(x) \neq c_{\Psi}(y)$.

(5) The space (X, τ_{Ψ}) is T_1 iff $c_{\Psi}(x) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in X$.

PROOF. (1) is easy to verify.

(2). If $x \in U$ and U is Ψ -open, then as $\{A \in \Psi : x \in A\}$ is a filter base and $\bigcap \{A \in \Psi : x \in A\} = c_{\Psi}(x)$, so there there is an $F \in \Psi$ such that $x \in F$ and $F \subseteq U$. Now $c_{\Psi}(x) \subseteq F \subseteq U$ imply $c_{\Psi}(x) \subseteq U$. Hence $c_{\Psi}(x) \subseteq sat(\{x\})$.

Now assume that $y \notin c_{\Psi}(x)$. Let $U = \{z \in X : y \notin c_{\Psi}(z)\}$. Then $x \in U$ and $y \notin U$. Note that for any $F \in \Psi$, $F \subseteq U$ iff $y \notin F$. We show that U is Ψ -open. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Psi$ be a filter base such that $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = c_{\Psi}(d)$ and $d \in U$. Then $y \notin c_{\Psi}(d)$ by the definition of U. Hence $y \notin F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $d \in F$. But then $F \subseteq U$. Hence U is Ψ -open. All these show that $c_{\Psi}(x) = sat(\{x\})$.

(3) follows from (2) and the general fact that every open set is the union of the saturations of elements in the set.

(4) and (5) follow from (2) and the general fact on the links between saturations of singletons and T_0 and T_1 separations.

A topology β on a set X is called T-generated if $\beta = \tau_{\Psi}$ for some T-family Ψ on X. In this case we also call the space (X, β) T-generated.

EXAMPLE 2.4. (1) For any set X, the family $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ of all nonempty subsets of X is a T-family on X. The topology μ on X generated by $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$ is the co-finite topology ($U \in \mu$ iff $U = \emptyset$ or X - U is finite).

(2) Let $\mathbb{I} = \{[a,b] : a \leq b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the family of all closed intervals of reals. Then \mathbb{I} is a T-family on \mathbb{R} and the topology generated by \mathbb{I} is the usual Euclidean topology.

(3) Let ω_1 be the set of all countable ordinals and τ the co-countable topology on ω_1 ($U \in \tau$ iff $U = \emptyset$ or $\omega_1 - U$ is countable). Let Ψ be the family of sets F such that there are $x, y \in \omega_1$ with x < y and $F = \{x\} \cup \{z \in \omega_1 : z \ge y\}$. Then one can easily check that $U \in \tau$ iff U is Ψ -open.

(4) If (X, τ) is a Hausdorff first countable (more general, a k-space), then the family CK(X) of all non-empty closed compact subsets of X is a T-family and it generates the original topology τ (see [15]). In particular, every topology defined by a metric is T-generated. EXAMPLE 2.5. For any poset P, let $\Psi = \{\uparrow x : x \in P\}$. Then for any $x \in P$, $\bigcap \{A \in \Psi : x \in A\} = \uparrow x$. It is then easy to check that $U \subseteq P$ is Ψ -open iff it is Scott open. Thus the Scott topology on posets are T-generated.

Probably a little more interesting type T-family on a poset is the following one.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Given a poset P, let

 $\Psi_{uf} = \{\uparrow F : F \subseteq P \text{ is a non-empty finite set}\}.$

Every Ψ_{uf} -open set is clearly Scott open. Consider the poset P below:

$$P = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots\} \cup \{b\},\$$

the order is given by $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n < a_{n+1} < \cdots$ and $a_1 < b$. Then $U = \{b\}$ is Scott open. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F_i = \{a_i, b\}$. Then $\bigcap\{\uparrow F_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{b\} \subseteq U$, but $F_i \not\subseteq U$ for all i. Therefore U is not Ψ_{uf} -open.

PROPOSITION 2.7. For any dcpo P and $U \subseteq P$, $U \in \sigma(P)$ iff U is Ψ_{uf} -open.

PROOF. We only need to show that every Scott open set of a dcpo is Ψ_{uf} -open.

Let P be a dcpo (every directed subset has a supremum) and $U \in \sigma(P)$. Let $\{\uparrow A_i : i \in I\}$ be a filtered base with each A_i a nonempty finite subset and $\bigcap\{\uparrow A_i : i \in I\} = \uparrow x \subseteq U$. If $A_i - U \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$, then $\{\uparrow (A_i - U) : i \in I\}$ is a filtered family. By Rutin's Lemma (Lemma III-3.3 of [5]), there is a directed subset $D \subseteq \bigcup\{A_i - U : i \in I\}$ such that $D \cap (A_i - U) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in I$. Then, as $D \subseteq P - U$ and P - U is Scott closed, $\bigvee D \in P - U$. But $\bigvee D \in \bigcap\{\uparrow A_i : i \in I\} \subseteq U$. This leads to a contradiction. Hence there must be i such that $\uparrow A_i \subseteq U$, showing that U is Ψ_{uf} -open. \Box

REMARK 2.8. If (X, τ) is a T-generated space and Y is an open subspace of X. Then Y is also T-generated (if $\tau = \tau_{\Psi}$, then the topology on Y is generated by $\Psi \cap Y = \{A \cap Y : A \in \Psi\}$).

It is still unknown whether a G_{δ} subset of a T-generated space is also T-generated.

REMARK 2.9. Given a collection \mathcal{U} of nonempty subsets of set X with $\bigcup \mathcal{U} = X$, let Ψ be the family of nonempty intersections of a finite number of members of \mathcal{U} . Then Ψ is a T-family on X and it generates a topology on X.

Although T-generated spaces are quite general, we do have Hausdorff spaces which are not T-generated.

EXAMPLE 2.10. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, the set of all real numbers. The topology τ on X is the coarsest one containing the Euclidean topology and the cocountable topology. Equivalently, $U \in \tau$ iff U = V - C, where V is an open set in the Euclidean topology and C is a countable set. Assume that τ is generated by a T-family Ψ (thus $U \in \tau$ iff U is Ψ -open). Then, as (X, τ) is $T_1, c_{\Psi}(x) = \{x\}$ for all $x \in X$ by Proposition 2.3(5).

The set $\{0\}$ is clearly not in τ , hence not Ψ -open. Therefore there must be a filter base $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Psi$ such that $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \{0\}$ and $\{0\} \notin \mathcal{F}$.

Now for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ is Ψ -open (because it is in τ). So there is an $F_n \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F_n \subseteq \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)$. Since \mathcal{F} is a filter base, we can chose F'_n 's so that $F_{n+1} \subseteq F_n$ hold for all n. Now let $\mathcal{F}^* = \{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then \mathcal{F}^* is a filter base in \mathcal{F} and $\bigcap \mathcal{F}^* = \{0\}$. For each n, as $F_n \neq \{0\}$, we can choose a $b_n \in F_n - \{0\}$. Let $B = \{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then $\mathbb{R} - B$ is in τ and contains 0. But there exists no $F_n \in \mathcal{F}^*$ that is contained in $\mathbb{R} - B$. This contradicts the assumption that τ is generated by Ψ .

REMARK 2.11. By Proposition 1.6, the space X in the above example has a strong continuous poset model. Thus a space having a strong poset model need not have a T-generated topology. We can even construct a space which has an algebraic dcpo model and whose topology is not T-generated (e.g. the dcpo Z(X) in (2) of Section 4 of [16] is an algebraic model of the space (R, τ) , and τ is not T-generated).

In the following we prove a new link between T-generated topologies and k-spaces. For any topological space (X, τ) , let CK(X) be the collection of all nonempty compact subsets of X. It is easy to check that CK(X) is a T-family. In [15], we introduced the CK-filter generated spaces and proved that every Hausdorff k-space (or compactly generated space) is CK-filter generated, and thus deduce that every Hausdorff k-space has a bounded complete dcpo model. It is still open whether every Hausdorff CK-filter generated space is a k-space (page 8 of [15]). We now give a positive answer to this problem.

By [15], a space (X, τ) is CK-filter generated if a subset U is open iff for any filtered family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq CK(X)$ with $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \{x\}$ and $x \in U$, there is an $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subseteq U$.

Note that for any T_1 space X and $a \in X$, $c_{CK(X)}(a) = \bigcap \{K \in CK(X) : a \in K\} = \{a\}$. Thus, in terms of the notion of T-generated topology, a T_1 space (X, τ) is CK-filter generated iff the topology τ is T-generated by the family CK(X).

A space (X, τ) is called a k-space if a subset $U \subseteq X$ is open iff for any closed compact subspace $A \subseteq X, U \cap A$ is open in A.

THEOREM 2.12. Every CK-filter generated T_1 space is a k-space.

PROOF. Let (X, τ) be CK-filter generated T_1 space. Note that for any $x \in X$, $c_{CK(X)}(x) = \{x\}$ because (X, τ) is T_1 .

Assume that U is a subset of X such that for any closed compact subset A of (X, τ) , $U \cap A$ is open in the subspace A. Let $\{K_i : i \in I\} \subset CK(X)$ be a filtered family and

$$\bigcap \{K_i : i \in I\} = \{x\} \subseteq U.$$

Without lose of generality, we can assume that all $k'_i s$ are contained in some K_{i_0} (otherwise we can consider the family $\{K_i \cap K_{i_0} : i \in I\}$). Then each K_i is a compact closed subset of the subspace K_{i_0} .

If for each $i \in I$, $K_i \cap U^c \neq \emptyset$, then, as $U \cap K_i$ is open in K_i , $K_i \cap U^c$ is closed in K_i . Hence $K_i - U$ is closed in K_{i_0} . Now

$$\bigcap \{K_i - U : i \in I\} = \bigcap \{K_i : i \in \} - U = \emptyset.$$

This contradicts that K_{i_0} is compact. Hence there exists K_i such that $K_i \subseteq U$. By the assumption, we deduce that U is open in X.

Conversely, if $U \subseteq \tau$ and $\{K_i : i \in I\} \subset CK(X)$ is a filtered family such that

$$\bigcap \{K_i : i \in I\} = \{x\} \subseteq U,$$

then trivially we have $K_i \subseteq U$ for some *i*. Therefore (X, τ) is a k-space. \Box

The following result provides a new characterization for Hausdorff K-spaces.

COROLLARY 2.13. A Hausdorff space is a k-space iff it a CK-filter generated.

3. ME-separated strong poset models

Consider the dcpo (\mathbb{I}, \supseteq) in Example 2.4(2). For any $I = [a, b] \in \mathbb{I}$, $\uparrow I \cap \operatorname{Max}(\mathbb{I}) = \{[x, x] : x \in [a, b]\}$. Thus for any two $I, J \in \mathbb{I}, I \supseteq J$ iff $\uparrow I \cap \operatorname{Max}(\mathbb{I}) \subseteq \uparrow J \cap \operatorname{Max}(\mathbb{I})$. For any T_1 space X, the dcpo $(CK(X), \supseteq)$ of all nonempty closed compact sets also has this property.

DEFINITION 3.1. A poset P is called ME-separated if for any $x, y \in P$,

$$x \leq y$$
 iff $\uparrow y \cap \operatorname{Max}(P) \subseteq \uparrow x \cap \operatorname{Max}(P)$.

EXAMPLE 3.2. (1) The poset P = [0,1] of real numbers between 0 and 1, with the usual order, is not ME-separated.

(2) Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ be the Euclidean n-space. For each $x \in X$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\epsilon \ge 0$, let $B(x, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) \le \epsilon\}$. Then the set $BX = \{B(x, \epsilon) : x \in X, \epsilon \ge 0\}$ is a dcpo with respect to the reverse inclusion order (see Example V-6.8 of [5] for a more general conclusion). It's easy to see that BX is ME-separated.

LEMMA 3.3. If a T_1 space has an ME-separated strong poset model, then X is T-generated.

PROOF. Assume that P is an ME-separated poset and X is homeomorphic to $Max_s(P)$. To simplify the statements, we assume $X = Max_s(P)$.

Let $\Psi = \{\uparrow u \cap X : u \in P\}$. For any $x \in X$,

 $\{A \in \Psi : x \in A\}$

has a smallest member $\{x\}$, so it is a nonempty filter base. Therefore Ψ is a T-family on X and $c_{\Psi}(x) = \{x\}$ holds for every $x \in X$. We now show that the restriction of $\sigma_s(P)$ on X is generated by Ψ .

Let $U \in \sigma_s(P)$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Psi$ a filter base such that $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \{m\} \subseteq U$. Assume that $\mathcal{F} = \{\uparrow p_i \cap X : i \in I\}$. Then $A = \{p_i : i \in I\}$ is a directed subset of P because P is ME-separated.

Since $m \in \bigcap\{\uparrow p_i : i \in I\}$, *m* is an upper bound of $A = \{p_i : i \in I\}$. Assume that *d* is any upper bound of *A*, then $d \in \bigcap\{\uparrow p_i : i \in I\}$ and thus $\uparrow d \subseteq \bigcap\{\uparrow p_i : i \in I\}$. Then

$$\uparrow d \cap X \subseteq \bigcap \{\uparrow p_i : i \in I\} \cap X = \{m\}.$$

As $\uparrow d \cap X \neq \emptyset$ (every element is below some maximal point), it follows that $\uparrow d \cap X = \{m\}$. Noting that $m \in X, \uparrow m = \{m\}$. Therefore $\uparrow d \cap X = \uparrow m \cap X$, which implies d = m because P is ME-separated. All these show that $\bigvee A = m$ (in fact, m is the unique upper bound of A). Since $U \in \sigma_s(P)$, there exists $p_{i_0} \in U$. Then $\uparrow p_{i_0} \subseteq U$ because U is an upper set, thus $\uparrow p_{i_0} \cap X \subseteq U \cap X$. This shows that $U \cap X \in \tau_{\Psi}$, the topology generated by Ψ on X.

Conversely, assume that $V \subseteq X$ is nonempty and is in τ_{Ψ} . Let $V^* = \{p \in P : \uparrow p \cap X \subseteq V\}$. Then V^* contains V and is an upper set of P, again because P is ME-separated. For any directed set $D \subseteq P$ such that $\bigvee D \in X \cap V^*$, the family $\{\uparrow r \cap X : r \in D\}$ is a filter base in Ψ and $\bigcap\{\uparrow r \cap X : r \in D\} = \{\bigvee D\} \subseteq X$ and $\{\bigvee D\} \subseteq V$, thus there is $d \in D$ such that $\uparrow d \cap X \subseteq V$, which implies $d \in V^*$. Thus $V^* \in \sigma_s(P)$. Trivially $V = V^* \cap X$.

The proof is completed.

COROLLARY 3.4. If a T_1 space has an ME-separated domain model, then it is T-generated.

PROOF. Let P be an ME-separated domain model of space X. Then, by Theorem 1.5, P is an ME-separated strong poset model of X, so X is T-generated.

LEMMA 3.5. If a T_1 space is T-generated, then it has an ME-separated strong poset model.

PROOF. Assume that (X, μ) is a T_1 space such that there is a T-family Ψ satisfying $\mu = \tau_{\Psi}$. We show that the space X is homeomorphic to $\operatorname{Max}_s(P)$, where $P = \Psi \cup \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$ with the reverse inclusion order. Clearly $\operatorname{Max}(P) = \{\{x\} : x \in X\}$. Let $f : X \longrightarrow \operatorname{Max}(P)$ be the trivial bijection. Let $\mathcal{U} \in \sigma_s(P)$ and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Psi$ a filter base such that $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \{x\} \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{U} \cap \operatorname{Max}(P))$.

Then \mathcal{F} is a directed subset of P such that $\sup \mathcal{F} = \{x\} \in \mathcal{U} \cap \operatorname{Max}(P)$. Hence there is $F \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{U}$. Then for any $x \in F$, $f(x) = \{x\} \geq_P F$, implying $f(x) \in \mathcal{U} \cap \operatorname{Max}(P)$. So $F \subseteq f^{-1}(\mathcal{U} \cap \operatorname{Max}(P))$. Therefore $f^{-1}(\mathcal{U} \cap \operatorname{Max}(P)) \in \tau_{\Psi} = \mu$, showing the continuity of f.

Now let $U \in \tau_{\Psi}$.

(i) Clearly $f(U) = \{\{x\} : x \in U\} = U^* \cap \operatorname{Max}(P)$, where $U^* = \{A \in P : A \subseteq U\}$.

(ii) The set U^* is in $\sigma_s(P)$. As a matter of fact, U^* is clearly an upper set in P. Assume that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq P$ is a directed subset such that $sup_P\mathcal{F} = \{x_0\} \in U^*$. Then $x_0 \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$. If $y \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$, then $\{y\}$ is an upper bound of \mathcal{F} , so $x_0 = y$. All these show that $\{x_0\} = \bigcap \mathcal{F}$. Since $\{x_0\} \in U^*$, $x_0 \in U$. If one of $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ is a singleton, then $F_0 = \{x_0\}$, so $F_0 \in U^*$. Otherwise, as $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Psi$ is a filter base and $U \in \tau_{\Psi}$, we have that that $F \subseteq U$ holds for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$, which implies $F \in U^*$. Therefore $U^* \in \sigma_s(P)$. Hence f(U) is open in $Max_s(P)$.

In all we have shown that f is a homeomorphism.

Therefore (P, \supseteq) is a strong poset model of X. In addition P is easily seen to be ME-separated.

The combination of the above two lemmas leads to the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.6. A T_1 space is T-generated iff it has an ME-separated strong poset model.

In [15] it was proved that for any Hausdorff k-space, a subset U is open if and only for any filter base \mathcal{F} of closed compact sets, $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \subseteq U$ implies $F \subseteq U$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$. This means exactly that the topology of every Hausdorff k-space is generated by the family CK(X) of all nonempty closed compact sets of X. Also as CK(X) is closed under the intersection of filter bases, CK(X) is a dcpo with respect to the reverse inclusion order. Thus we have the following.

COROLLARY 3.7. Every Hausdorff k-space has an ME-separated strong dcpo model.

We close the paper with some problems for further work on this topic.

The metric space \mathbb{R} of real numbers with the Euclidean topology has an ME-separated domain model, that is (\mathbb{I}, \supseteq) . For any complete metric space (Y, d), the domain BY of all closed formal balls of Y is a domain model of Y [3] (and hence also a strong dcpo model). But, in general, BY need not be ME-separated.

Thus we have the problem:

1. Which complete metrizable spaces have an ME-separated domain model?

We hope to know more about the T-generated spaces. The following is a very natural problem on T-generated space.

2. Is the product of any two T-generated spaces also T-generated?

Acknowledgement

1. This work is supported by NIE AcRF Project (RI 3/16 ZDS), NSF Project of Jiangsu Province, China (BK20170483), NSF of China (11361028, 61300153, 11671008, 11626207, 11701500) and NSF of China (61370100).

2. The referee has read the manuscript very carefully and provided us with a lot of constructive comments and suggestions for improvement. We are very grateful to that.

References

- Ali-Akbaria, M., Honarib, B. and Pourmahdiana, M.: Any T₁ space has a continuous poset model, Topol. Appl. 156(2009), 13:2240 - 2245.
- [2] Erné, M.: Algebraic models for T_1 -spaces, Topol. Appl. **158**(2011), 7:945-962.
- [3] Edalat, A. and Heckmann, R.: A computational model for metric spaces, Theor. Comput. Sci. 193(1998), 53-73.
- [4] Georgiou, D. N., Iliadis, S. D.: Topologies on posets and function spaces. Proceedings of the 20th Summer Conference on Topology and its Applications. Topology Proc. 30(2006), 2: 467473.
- [5] Gierz, G., Hofmann, K. H., Keimel, K., Lawson, J. D., Mislove, M. W. and Scott, D. S.: Continuous lattices and domains, Vol. 93, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [6] Goubault-Larrecq, J.: Non-Hausdorff topology and Domain Theory: Selected Topics in Point-Set Topology, Vol. 22, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [7] Kamimura, T. and Tang, A.: Total objects of domains, Theor. Comput. Sci. 34(1984), 275-288.
- [8] Lambrinos, P. and Papadopoulos, B. K.: The (strong) Isbell topology and (weakly) continuous lattices, Continuous Lattices and Applications, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. No. 101, Marcel Dekker, New York 1984, 191-211.
- [9] Lawson, J. D.: Spaces of maximal points, Math. Structures. Comput. Sci. 7(1997), 5: 543-555.
- [10] Liang, J. and Klause, K.: Order environment of topological spaces, Acta Math. Sinica 20(2004), 5: 943-948.
- [11] Mashburn, J.: A comparison of three topologies on ordered sets, Topology Proc. 31(2007), 1: 197-217.
- [12] Mashburn, J.: Linearly Ordered Topological Spaces and Weak Domain Representability, Topology Proc. 35(2010), 149 - 164.
- [13] Zhao, D.: Poset models of topological spaces, In: Proceeding of International Conference on Quantitative Logic and Quantification of Software, Global - Link Publisher, (2009), 229 - 238.
- [14] Zhao, D. and Xi, X.: Dcpo models of T_1 topological spaces, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **164**(2018), 1:125 -134.
- [15] Zhao, D. and Xi, X.: Topological spaces that have a bounded complete dcpo model, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 48(2018), 141-156.
- [16] Xi, X. and Zhao, D.: Maximal point spaces of dcpos satisfying the Lawson condition, Topol. Appl. 230(2017), 417-424.

(D. Zhao) Mathematics and Mathematics Education, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, 1 Nanyang Walk, Singapore 637616

 $Email \ address: \ \texttt{dongsheng.zhao@nie.edu.sg}$

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Jiangsu China

Email address: littlebrook@jsnu.edu.cn

School of Computer Science and Soft Engineering, East China Normal University, #3663, Zhongshan Road (North), Shanghai, China

 $Email \ address: \ \tt yxchen@sei.ecnu.edu.cn$